

# Astronomy With Small Telescopes

Bohdan Paczyński

*Princeton University Observatory, Princeton, NJ 08544*

bp@astro.princeton.edu

## ABSTRACT

The All Sky Automated Survey (ASAS) is monitoring all sky to about 14 mag with a cadence of about 1 day; it has discovered about  $10^5$  variable stars, most of them new. The instrument used for the survey had aperture of 7 cm. A search for planetary transits has lead to the discovery of about a dozen confirmed planets, so called 'hot Jupiters', providing the information of planetary masses and radii. Most discoveries were done with telescopes with aperture of 10 cm.

We propose a search for optical transients covering all sky with a cadence of 10 - 30 minutes and the limit of 12 - 14 mag, with an instant verification of all candidate events. The search will be made with a large number of 10 cm instruments, and the verification will be done with 30 cm instruments.

We also propose a system to be located at the  $L_1$  point of the Earth - Sun system to detect 'killer asteroids'. With a limiting magnitude of about 18 mag it could detect 10 m boulders several hours prior to their impact, provide warning against Tunguska-like events, as well as to provide news about spectacular but harmless more modest impacts.

*Subject headings:* techniques: photometric — surveys — celestial mechanics — meteoroids — stars: variable — gamma rays: bursts

## 1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to point out that there are many tasks for which small and even very small telescopes are not only useful, but even indispensable. Following are several examples.

Gaustad et al. (2001) work on the  $H_\alpha$  emission was fundamental to account for Galactic foreground, therefore it was essential for cosmology (Finkbeiner 2003); it was done with a 52 mm lens. The same instrument was used to map a planetary nebula Abell 36, which was found to be far more extended than thought previously (McCullough et al. 2001). Optical flashes from cosmological distance were detected by Akerlof et al. (1999, ROTSE - Robotic Optical Transient Search Experiment) and Jelinek et al. (2006): they reached 8.9 mag and 10.1 mag, respectively, and used 100 mm optics. The flashes were due to gamma-ray bursts 990123 and 060117, respectively. The recent optical flash at about 5 mag had unknown origin (Shamir and Nemiroff 2006). It was

registered with two all sky cameras with 8 mm fish-eye lenses: one at Cerro Pachon - Chile, another at La Palma - Spain. The flare was not detected at Cerro Paranal, but the exposures were not coincidental, so there is no inconsistency.

Schaefer (1989) and Schaefer et al. (2000) compiled a list of very compelling cases where historical evidence implied unusual brightening of otherwise ordinary bright stars. Unfortunately, none of these cases were rapidly followed up spectroscopically or photometrically. There was no rapid followup in the recent case of Shamir and Nemiroff. It is clear that without instant follow-up all those events remain at the level of gossip.

However, for a number of years optical afterglows were successfully followed up by many groups. The difference was due to gamma-ray bursts acting as a trigger, making it possible to concentrate on a relatively small area of a sky. If all sky was well covered down to some magnitude, and the variability of all stars were known, the recognition of transients would be much easier. It is one of the goals of this study: to make the whole sky familiar at gradually fainter magnitudes. This task is familiar: similar goals are advocated by LSST (Clever et al. 2004, Large Synoptic Survey Telescope), PanSTARRS (Kaiser 2004, Panoramic Survey Telescope & Rapid Response System), and SkyMapper (Schmidt et al. 2005, The SkyMapper Telescope). The major difference is the cadence: the three mega-projects propose imaging all sky in a week. We suggest to image the sky once every minute or once every 15 minutes, depending how deep we would go. Of course, all the proposed mega-projects will reach vastly fainter magnitudes, and typically they will saturate below 15 mag. The huge difference in cadence and the magnitude make these projects complementary rather than competitive.

In the following sections we shall present several examples of a successful implementation of small instruments. ASAS (All Sky Automated Survey) and NSVS (Northern Sky Variability Survey), with the range of about 14 mag and cadence of about 1 day provide an example of relatively low accuracy survey. A search for planetary transits which concentrate on a very small part of the sky, achieves a very high precision photometry. These will be covered in sections 2 and 3. In addition we propose two future projects: the search for all untriggered optical flashes, and a new approach of dealing with 'killer asteroids'; these are covered in sections 4 and 5. Finally, section 6 will conclude the paper with a discussion.

## 2. ASAS and NSVS

The All Sky Automated Survey (ASAS) is an example of a modest system which already exists, and it was it was to fill a gap in the sky variability. It already produces results: catalogs of bright variable stars (Pojmański 1997, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2003, Pojmański and Maciejewski 2004, 2005, Pojmański, Pilecki and Szczygiel 2005).

ASAS is a long term project dedicated to detection and monitoring variability of bright stars. It uses telescopes with the aperture of 7 cm, the focal length of 20 cm,  $2K \times 2K$  CCD cameras

with  $15\mu$  pixels from Apogee. The standard V-band and I-band filters are used. The I-band data are still being processed but all V-band data have already been converted to catalogs of variable stars. ASAS reaches 14-mag stars in 2 minute exposures over a field of view  $(9^\circ)^2$  degrees. More information about ASAS is provided on the WWW <sup>1</sup>. ASAS has already been used to address some problems in the domain of contact binaries (Paczynski et al. 2006).

In addition to discovering over 50,000 variable stars in the V-band, ASAS has also limited ability to react to new phenomena: it discovered two comets, and a number of novae and dwarf novae stars. However, the software has not been developed yet for a fully automatic recognition of new phenomena. Its main virtues are a low cost and reliability - it requires Pojmański to visit the Las Campanas Observatory just once every two years. The OGLE (The Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment) <sup>2</sup> observer which happens to be on duty opens the dome for the OGLE telescope, and this automatically opens ASAS. About once every week the OGLE observer changes data tape, or reboots the ASAS computer.

Pojmański has recently (June 1, 2006) developed ASAS-N at Faulkes North site at the Haleakala on Maui (Hawaii), courtesy of Wayne Rosing, to cover all sky with the observations. The optics has been upgraded to the aperture of 10 cm, with the same focal length. Just as the ASAS at Las Campanas the system uses two cameras, one for the V-band, another for the I-band.

The idea is to have ASAS as a system to operate indefinitely as stars vary on all time scales. The practical issue is to keep the operating costs very low, so the project can continue for ever, with modest upgrades from time to time. Hopefully, other astronomers, perhaps even amateurs, will join ASAS-like projects, expanding it to all time zones, and providing around the clock time coverage.

The Northern Sky Variability Survey (NSVS, Wozniak et al. 2004) provided a database of photometric measurements covering time interval of 10 months of ROTSE-I (Robotic Optical Variability Survey) data, and magnitude range 8 to 15.5. While the original data were obtained with no filter, the 2MASS (Two Micron All Sky Survey) data can be used to obtain color information. Combined with the current ASAS data the NSVS provides a 10-month coverage of the variability of all sky. However, within a year ASAS will expand its coverage of the Northern sky and will provide two band photometry for the full sky. Still, the NSVS will remain for ever as an archive: there is no way to go back in time.

The usefulness of this activity can be quantified: so far ASAS was used in various papers and notes listed on ADS at least 134 times, the NSVS at least 52 times.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.astrouw.edu.pl/~gp/asas/asas.html>  
<http://archive.princeton.edu/~asas/>

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.astrouw.edu.pl/~ogle/>  
<http://bulge.astro.princeton.edu/~ogle/>

ASAS and NSVS make the sky well studied for variability down to about 14 mag with a cadence of about one day. This may be a good starting point to search for much more rapid transients, with the better time coverage, and from many location. There is no obvious limit to this activity.

### 3. A search for planetary transits

The search for planets transiting solar type stars produced spectacular results. The importance of transits is due to the fact that these are the only planets for which accurate radii and masses can be obtained. The first discovery was found using radial velocities to select the candidate: HD 209458b (Mazeh et al. 2000, Charbonneau et al. 2000, Henry et al. 2000). This was a bright star, suitable for detailed photometry and spectroscopy. Two more cases of a transit selected through radial velocity studies were found: HD 189733b (Bouchy et al. 2005), and HD 149026b (Sato et al. 2005). These stars are very bright too.

So far 11 cases of planetary transits were discovered first photometrically and confirmed spectroscopically later. Most of them were detected with 10 cm telescopes: TrES-1 (Alonso et al. 2004), XO-1b (McCullough et al. 2006), TrES-2 (O’Donovan et al. 2006), HAT-P-1b (Bakos et al. 2006), WASP-1b and WASP-2b (Collier et al. 2006). I expect that the number of such discoveries will increase, now that the astronomers learned how to make accurate photometry with wide angle telescopes. The advantage of 10 cm telescopes is that they cover large area in the sky, close to  $100^2$  square degrees, and make a search broad. Still, it will take many years to search the sky for planetary transients.

These are also 5 objects first selected as candidates for planets by OGLE: OGLE-TR-10b (Udalski et al. 2002a, Bouchy et al. 2005, Konacki et al. 2005), OGLE-TR-56b (Udalski et al. 2002b, Konacki et al. 2003), OGLE-TR-111b (Udalski et al. 2002c, Pont et al. 2004), OGLE-TR-113b (Udalski et al. 2002c, Bouchy et al. 2004, Konacki et al. 2004), OGLE-TR-132b (Udalski et al. 2003, Bouchy et al. 2004). All these are relatively faint as the stars were monitored with a relatively large 1.3 meter telescope. Yet, for a year or two OGLE was dominating the field of planetary transients providing masses and radii for planets.

Very recently, Sahu et al (2006) used the HST (Hubble Space Telescope) to search for transiting planets at 19-26 mag; a survey known as the Sagittarius Window Eclipsing Extrasolar Planet Search (SWEEPS). Despite finding a few transiting candidates, spectroscopic follow-up and confirmation of the SWEEPS candidates is currently out reach, since the HST represents the extremely high end in both spatial and photometric sensitivity. Therefore, the SWEEPS planetary candidates must remain candidates for some time come.<sup>3</sup>

It is clear that the best way to search for planetary transits is to conduct it with many small

---

<sup>3</sup>Of course, these are very useful data about various types of variable stars, and in particular hundreds of short period binary stars.

telescopes, with an aperture of 10 cm, or so, and large CCD cameras. This is the best case I know that the scientific advantage of small instruments is beyond any doubt. Of course, there is a need to do spectroscopic follow-up with bigger telescopes.

#### 4. A search for optical flashes

The best known optical flashes are the gamma-ray burst afterglows (GRB). A compilation of the results from GCN (The Gamma ray bursts Coordinates Network) archive by Quimby and McMahon (2006) is available at WWW<sup>4</sup>. It indicates that a large fraction of optical afterglows decay as  $F \sim 1/t$  initially, and as  $F \sim 1/t^2$  after the break. Most afterglows are detectable only with large apertures, though there were several very bright optical transients (OT): OT 990123 (Akerlof et al. 1999, 9 mag), OT 060117 (Jelinek et al. 2006, 10 mag), OT 061007 (Mundell et al. 2006, R=10.3 mag, Schady et al. 2006, V<11.1 mag).

At this time the search for afterglows is frustrating, as most of the time no afterglow is detectable, most time the instruments are idle. I think another mode of a search might be more satisfactory: to image all sky for whatever transients come around. There is no fundamental rule that would restrict astronomy to just one type of flashes. As far as I know this idea was seriously implemented only by Robert Nemiroff and his associates with the concept of CONCAM (ING's All-Sky Camera), a camera with a fish-eye lens monitoring all sky in about dozen observatories (Nemiroff & Rafert 1999). Following several frustrating years there was finally a success: the paper announcing the discovery of a an optical flash of about 5th mag (Shamir and Nemiroff 2006). The authors in their abstract were not suggesting an astronomical discovery, even though they recorded almost identical flash from Chile and from Canary Island.

Well, there was an obvious problem with the CONCAM: no automatic follow-up that would provide a proof that the flash was real. The problem with CONCAM, as well as all those stars of Schaefer (1989) and Schaefer et al. (2000), was that there was no instant follow-up. It would be great to have spectroscopic verification, but a photometric verification should be just as good, provided a larger instrument was available to follow a promising candidate event down to 18th mag, or even down to 15th magnitude. The obvious problem is to sort out which flashes were real and which were artifacts. I am convinced that this problem can be handled. After all these problems will have to be addressed with LSST, PanSTARRS, and the SkyMapper, except they will be much tougher to handle with big instruments: there will be more candidate flashes sampled very rarely, with a cadence of a week or so. The sky variability in a time domain is hardly explored. It makes sense to explore the sky gradually, initially at the bright end, and gradually to go deep.

The optical GRB afterglows are not the only optical sources that may be discovered, as demonstrated by Shamir and Nemiroff (2006) with their 5th magnitude flash. Systems similar to Nemiroff

---

<sup>4</sup> <http://grad40.as.utexas.edu/~quimby/tu2006/>

CONCAM should be used to monitor the sky at all time scales. We do not know what is the range of various optical flashes, GRB afterglows and other phenomena. First, we should familiarize our system with the sky at a given magnitude we can conveniently reach. As a by product we shall learn not only about the stars, including all variables, but also asteroids, comets, etc., all kinds of ‘normal’ transients.

Every instrument has a range of its applicability: magnitude range, the cadence, sky coverage, etc. We have little information about the best range of parameters to search, so we should search as broadly as we can afford, in as many different parameters as we possibly can. The new Apogee CCD cameras with  $4K \times 4K$  pixels 9 micron on a side cost about \$15,000. Combining these cameras with telephoto lenses of different foci’s we can reach different magnitudes. For example, using a lens with  $f = 200$  mm we can reach down to 15 mag in a few minute exposure. Taking faster optics and smaller aperture we may reach brighter stars faster. For example, we can image all stars brighter than 10th mag every minute, or so, providing ‘continuous record of the sky’ (Nemiroff & Rafert 1999). This would make it possible to search the sky for optical flashes with verification. Gradually, improved optics will allow, among other topics, to search for optical afterglows without GRB triggers.

## 5. Killer asteroids

The search for so called ‘killer asteroids’, with diameters in excess of 300 meters and Earth crossing orbits, is one of the most active areas of solar system research, with over 3500 objects discovered up to 2005-Dec <sup>5</sup>. The rate of collisions of 1 km asteroids with the Earth is uncertain. It is estimated to be once every  $10^5$  years by Rabinowitz et al. (2000), once every half a million years by Ivezić et al. (2001), and once every 3 million years by Brown et al. (2002). Currently, there are approximately ten NEO (Near Earth Object) search programs <sup>6</sup>. These discoveries provide information about possible or probable impacts in a distant future, but none of them predicted any actual impact.

Collisions with  $D = 1$  km asteroids are likely to be globally catastrophic, but they are very rare according to all estimates. Much more common are Tunguska-class events (Chyba et al. 1993), which occur every 1,000 years, according to Brown et al. (2002), and more frequently according to Rabinowitz et al. (2000) and Ivezić et al. (2001). While these are of no global concern they would be locally catastrophic, equivalent to an explosion of a major thermonuclear weapon,  $\sim 10$  megatons of TNT, i.e. 500 TIMES More powerful than the Hiroshima bomb. Even far less energetic events could be locally catastrophic. More information about meteor phenomena can found in Ceplecha et al. (1998).

---

<sup>5</sup> <http://neo.jpl.nasa.gov/neo/number.html>

<sup>6</sup><http://neo.jpl.nasa.gov/programs/>

As far as I know there is no ongoing search for such small,  $\sim 10 - 50$  meter size objects, which could provide advance warnings of their approach and impact. While even a Tunguska-class asteroid is not very likely to strike within a decade, it would be a major embarrassment for the astronomical community not to provide a timely prediction of a strike.

This is an outline of a project to detect cosmic rocks several hours, perhaps several days prior to the impact, and to provide an advanced warning to the local population (cf. Paczyński 1997, 2000, 2001). Smaller objects might also be detected, and the prediction of the time and location of harmless but spectacular fireballs could provide astronomical entertainment to the general public (cf. Foschini 1998, Tagliaferri 1998).

Brown et al. (2002) estimated the flux of small near-Earth objects (NEOs) colliding with the Earth based on the record of events detected by the United States Department of Defense and Department of Energy. The events were recorded with space-based systems with infrared detectors in geostationary orbits (Defense Support Program - DSP). The energetics of infrared flashes was converted to the kinetic energy of the bolides and into their likely size. In total,  $\sim 300$  spectacles were recorded from February 1994 to September 2002, but none was predicted.

While Brown et al. (2002) and Ivezić et al. (2001) give discordant estimates for collision rate with  $D \approx 1$  km asteroids, their estimates for small,  $d \approx 10$  meter rocks are similar. The cumulative rate may be approximated as

$$N \approx 0.1 \times \left( \frac{D}{10 \text{ m}} \right)^{-2.5} \text{ yr}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

i.e. the rocks 10 meter in size strike the Earth every 10 years, on average. It takes  $\sim 24$  hours to move a distance of 4LD (Lunar Distance) at the velocity of  $20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This gives us little time to provide a warning.

The apparent magnitude of an object with diameter  $D$  located in the anti-solar direction at a distance  $d$  is estimated to be

$$\begin{aligned} V &\approx 18 - 5 \log \left[ \left( \frac{D}{1 \text{ km}} \right) \left( \frac{1 \text{ AU}}{d} \right) \right] \approx 15 - 5 \log \left[ \left( \frac{D}{10 \text{ m}} \right) \left( \frac{1 \text{ LD}}{d} \right) \right] = \\ &\approx 18 - 5 \log \left[ \left( \frac{D}{10 \text{ m}} \right) \left( \frac{0.01 \text{ AU}}{d} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where AU is an astronomical unit, which is approximately equal to the inner Lagrangian point in the Earth - Sun system. It is also the location of a space probe SOHO - the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (Fleck 2004). The apparent magnitude can be estimated noticing that full Moon is  $-12.3$  mag, and a rock with the 10 meter diameter at the Moon distance will be 15 mag, assuming Moon's albedo.

The best view for detection of a space rock is at a full Moon phase. At a quarter Moon the brightness is 10 times smaller, and at new Moon phase the rock is not visible at all. Yet, Earth is under bombardment all the time, from solar as well as anti-solar direction. There is only one way

to provide all time protection: go to space, preferably to the L1 point, where all space rocks are well illuminated, assuming we look at them from L1, and we monitor approximately  $\pi$  steradians. Adopting L1 point to look for the boulders aiming at Earth, we can detect a rock with a 10 meter diameter as a star of 18 mag, with a modest change depending on its phase angle. A rock one hundred meters across would be seen as a 13 mag star from the inner Lagrangian point.

Obviously, there are problems. The number of speeding rocks will be huge, most of them will miss the Earth by a large margin. Some can be rejected right away, but many will have to be followed to make sure they miss the Earth. As the positions of all objects will vary all the time, it will be necessary to image them many times a day in order to be able to keep track of them. The estimate is well beyond the task of this paper.

A very obvious question: will it be better to use a relatively large telescope, say 50 cm diameter, to monitor 18th mag objects, or will it be better to have a number of smaller instruments to do the task? In any case the sky has to be imaged many times every day. Assuming that monitoring will cover  $\pi$  steradians of the sky centered on Earth as seen from the L<sub>1</sub> point, this will be a serious number crunching project, and even more serious programming task. However, if we are serious about 'killer asteroids' this is the best way to handle the danger. Notice, this is the most likely danger that may be caused by astronomy, not stellar explosions or gamma-ray bursts.

## 6. Discussion

The first three chapters: the introduction, the description of ASAS and NSVS, and the description of planetary transit, are the practical applications of small telescopes, which generate interesting results. These will continue, and the future of these small instruments is without any doubt.

The next two chapters are the expectations. The search for optical transients is likely to be developed by Rosing, Pojmański and Paczyński (2006) using small instruments. The future results by LSST, PanSTARRS, and the SkyMapper will be complementary, with their magnitude range and a cadence of approximately one week.

The proposed approach to 'killer asteroids' will require much studies to evaluate the practical aspects of the proposed scheme. I am optimistic. The search for on coming boulders may provide considerable excitement, in particular those which result in very bright optical flashes, like those of Foschini (1998) and Tagliaferri (1998); except these flashes will be predicted in advance.

It is a great pleasure to thank M. Collinge, A. Socrates and D. Szczygiel for their help in various stages of this project. The author would also like to thank A. Filippenko for many useful comments. NSF grant AST-0607070 and NASA grant NNG06GE27G are also acknowledged.



## REFERENCES

- Akerlof, C., Balsano, R., Barthelemy, S., Bloch, J., Butterworth, P., Casperson, D., Cline, T., Fletcher, S., et al. 1999, *Nature* 398, 400.
- Alonso, R., Brown, T.M., Torres, G., Latham, D.W., Sozzetti, A., Mandushev, G., Belmonte, J.A., Charbonneau, D., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 613, L153.
- Bakos, G., Noyes, R. W., Kovacs, G., Stanek, K. Z., Sasselov, D. D., Domsa, I. 2004, *PASP*, 116, 266
- Bakos, G.A., Noyes, R.W., Kovacs, G., Latham, D.W., Sasselov, D.D., Torres, G., Fischer, D.A., Stefanik, R.P., et al. 2006, accepted for publication in *ApJ*, astro-ph/0609369
- Bouchy, F., Pont, F., Santos, N.C., Melo, C., Mayor, M., Queloz, D. & Udry, S. 2004, *A&A*, 421, L13
- Bouchy, F., Pont, F., Melo, C., Santos, N.C., Mayor, M., Queloz, D. & Udry, S. 2005a, *A&A*, 431, 1105
- Brown, B., Spalding, R. E., ReVelle, D. O., Tagliaferri, E., & Worden, S. P. 2002, *Nature*, 420, 294
- Cepkecha, Z., Borovicka, J., Elford, W. H., ReVelle, D. O., Hawkes, R. L., Porubcan, V. & Simek, 1998, *Sp.Sc.Rev.* 84, 327
- Charbonneau, D. Brown, T.M., Latham, D.W. & Mayor, M. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, L45
- Chyba, C.F., Thomas, P.J. & Zahnle, K.J. 1993, *Nature*, 361, 40
- Claver, C.F., Sweeney, D.W., Tyson, J.A., Althouse, B., Axelrod, T.S., Cook, K.H., Daggert, L.G., Kantor, J.C., et al. 2004, *SPIE*, 5489, 705
- Collier Cameron, A., Bouchy, F., Hebrard, G., Maxted, P., Pollacco, D., Pont, F., Skillen, I., Smalley, B., et al. 2006, submitted to *MNRAS*, astro-ph/0609688
- Fleck, B. 2004, *IAUS*, 223, 589
- Finkbeiner, D. P. 2003, *ApJS*, 146, 407
- Foschini, L. 1998, *A&A*, 337, L5
- Gaustad, J. E., McCullough, P. R., Rosing, W., & Van Buren, D. 2001, *PASP*, 113, 1326
- Henry, G.W., Marcy, G.W., Butler, R.P. & Vogt, S.S. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, L41
- Ivezic, Z., Tabachnik, S., Rafikov, R., Lupton, R.H., Quinn, T., Hammergren, M., Eyer, L., Chu, J., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 2749

- Jellnek, M., Prouza, M., Kubanek, P., Hudec, R., Nekola, M., Radka, J., Grygar, J., Bohasova, M., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 454, L119
- Kaiser, N. 2004, *SPIE*, 5489, 11
- Konacki, M., Torres, G., Jha, S. & Sasselov, D.D. 2003, *Nature* 421, 507
- Konacki, M., Torres, G., Sasselov, D.D., Pietrzynski, G., Udalski, A., Jha, S., Ruiz, M.T., Gieren, W., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 609, L37
- Konacki, M., Torres, G., Sasselov, D.D. & Jha, S. 2005, *ApJ*, 624, 372
- Mazeh, T., Naef, D., Torres, G., Latham, D.W., Mayor, M., Beuzit, J.-L., Brown, T.M., Buchhave, L., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 532, L55
- Mundell, C.G., Melandri, A., Guidorzi, C., Kobayashi, S., Steele, I.A., Malesani, D., Amati, L., D’Avanzo, P., et al. 2006, submitted to *ApJ*, astro-ph/0610660
- McCullough, P. R., Bender, P. R., Gaustad, J. E., Rosing, W., & Van Buren, D. 2001, *AJ*, 121, 1326
- McCullough, P.R., Stys, J.E., Valenti, J.A., Johns-Krull, C.M., Janes, K.A., Heasley, J.N., Bye, B.A., Dodd, C., et al. 2006, accepted for *ApJ*, astro-ph/0605414
- Nemiroff, R. J., & Rafert, J. B. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 886
- O’Donovan, F.T., Charbonneau, D., Mandushev, G., Dunham, E.W., Latham, D.W., Torres, G., Sozzetti, A., Brown, T.M., et al. 2006, accepted for publication in *ApJL*, astro-ph/0609335
- Paczyński, B. 1997, *vsar.conf.* 357
- Paczyński, B. 2000, *PASP*, 112, 1281
- Paczyński, B. 2001, *ASP Conf. Ser.* 246, 45
- Paczyński, B., Szczygiel, D.M., Pilecki, B. & Pojmański, G. 2006, *MNRAS*, 368, 1311
- Pojmański, G. 1998, *AcA*, 48, 35
- Pojmański, G. 2000, *AcA*, 50, 177
- Pojmański, G. 2002, *AcA*, 52, 397
- Pojmański, G. 2003, *AcA*, 53, 341
- Pojmański, G., & Maciejewski, G. 2004, *AcA*, 54, 153
- Pojmański, G., & Maciejewski, G. 2005, *AcA*, 55, 97

- Pojmański, G., Pilecki, B., & Szczygiel, D. 2005, *AcA*, 55, 275
- Pont, F., Bouchy, F., Queloz, D., Santos, N.C., Melo, C., Mayor, M. & Udry, S. 2004, *A&A*, 426, L15
- Rosing, W., Pojmański, G., & Paczyński, 2006, in preparation
- Rabinowitz, D., Helin, E., Lawrence, K., & Pravdo, S. 2000, *Nature*, 403, 165
- Quimby, R. & McMahon, E. 2006, <http://grad40.as.utexas.edu/~quimby/tu2006/>
- Sahu, K. C., Casertano, S., Bond, H. E., Valenti, J., Smith, E., Minniti, D., Zoccali, M., Livio, M., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 443, 534
- Sato, B., Fischer, D.A., Henry, G.W., Laughlin, G., Butler, R.P., Marcy, G.W., Vogt, S.S., Bodenheimer, P., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 633, 465
- Schady, P., De Pasquale, M., Page, M.J., Vetere, L., Pandey, S.B., Wang, X.Y., Cummings, J., Zhang, B. et al. 2006, submitted to *MNRAS*, astro-ph/0611081
- Schaefer, B. E. 1989, *ApJ*, 337, 927
- Schaefer, B. E., King, J. R., & Deliyannis, C. P. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, 1026
- Schmidt, B. P., Keller, S. C., Francis, P. J., & Bessell, M. S. 2005, *AAS*, 206, 1509
- Shamir, L., & Nemiroff, R. J. 2006, astro-ph/0607033, in press in *PASP*
- Tagliaferri, E. 1998, *Mercury*, 27, 18
- Udalski, A., Paczyński, B., Zebrun, K., Szymaski, M., Kubiak, M., Soszynski, I., Szewczyk, O., Wyrzykowski, L., et al. 2002a, *AcA*, 52, 1
- Udalski, A., Zebrun, K., Szymanski, M., Kubiak, M., Soszynski, I., Szewczyk, O., Wyrzykowski, L. & Pietrzynski, G. 2002b, *AcA*, 52, 115
- Udalski, A., Szewczyk, O., Zebrun, K., Pietrzynski, G., Szymanski, M., Kubiak, M., Soszynski, I. & Wyrzykowski, L. 2002c, *AcA*, 52, 317
- Udalski, A., Pietrzynski, G., Szymanski, M., Kubiak, M., Zebrun, K., Soszynski, I., Szewczyk, O. & Wyrzykowski, L. 2003, *AcA*, 53, 133
- Wozniak, P.R., Vestrand, W.T., Akerlof, C.W., Balsano, R., Bloch, J., Casperson, D., Fletcher, S., Gisler, G., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 2436